

Rosecrest Community Council Minutes

Date	March 3, 2019
Call to Order	3:28 p.m.
Attending Council Members	Mr. Anderson, Principal Mrs. Hardy, Teacher Patti Hession, Parent Lori Thompson, Parent Sam Sorensen, Parent Stacie Henry, Parent
Absent	None
Ending Time	4:13 p.m.
Next Schedule Meeting	April 8, 2019

Agenda Items:

**I. Reconfiguration**

Mr. Anderson briefly updated the SCC regarding reconfiguration of the Olympus High Network. Staci Henry shared the outcome of Howard R. Driggs Council vote: 4 members voted against reconfiguration and 2 members voted in favor of Reconfiguration. Driggs upcoming meeting scheduled for Thursday, March 21, 2019 was noted.

Rosecrest’s Community Council Members reviewed the letter dated March 11, 2019, indicating they had voted to move forward with a formal request for information regarding the reconfiguration of the Olympus High Network; however, they reserve the right to stop proceedings toward grade reconfiguration at any time if concerns arose that would hinder the progress and stability of our students.

Patti Hession made motion to approve SCC’s letter and Sam Sorensen seconded the motion. Voting to accept the letter as written was unanimous.

**II. LAND Trust**

Mr. Anderson presented the SCC with a copy of the School TRUST Lands Plan 2019-2020 proposal and budget.

Goal #1 is to increase both our current Proficiency and Growth Points in Language Arts as measured by the RISE test in the Spring of 2020. Rosecrest will earn a growth score higher than 61% in ELA, while more than 55% of Rosecrest students will be proficient in ELA.

Goal #2 aims to increase both our current Proficiency and Growth Points in Mathematics.

Sam Sorensen motioned to approve the School TRUST Lands Plan 2019-2020 and budget; Patti Hession seconded the motion. Voting was unanimous.

**III. Staffing Plan**

Mr. Anderson presented the District's projected enrollment of 417 students for the school year 2019-2020. Rosecrest was given the allocation of 15 FTE. Mr. Anderson then explained 3 possible scenarios for allocation of FTE – Scenario #1 – 15 FTE, 3 grades do rotations, Scenario #2 – 15 FTE, tradition HR model and Scenario #3 – Hybrid. No upper grade rotations. He also emphasized that at this point in time, we are only allocating FTE – not putting staff members' names to grade assignments. After some discussion, Staci Henry motioned to support the staff's decision of Scenario #1. Sam Sorensen seconded the motion. Voting, again, was unanimous.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:13 pm.